Chiropractic Manipulation and Low Back Pain

Introduction
In the last two decades, chiropractic manipulative treatment has gained more attention because of the scientific evidence supporting its effectiveness for low back pain. At least 43 randomized controlled trials of spinal manipulation have been performed for acute, sub-acute and chronic low back pain. Thirty of these studies favor spinal manipulation over comparison treatments. Only 13 studies have found no significant difference and not one study has found spinal manipulation to be statistically or clinically less effective than the comparison treatment. (1) Chiropractic manipulation is perhaps the most studied treatment intervention for low back pain.

Government-sponsored studies in the United States, Canada and New Zealand have recommended spinal manipulation for the treatment of low back pain. (2-4) In 1994, the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, a division of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, appointed a panel of experts to review over 3,000 studies on treatments for acute low back pain. After their review of the literature, spinal manipulation was one of the recommended treatments for acute low back pain. (2)

Results
There are studies that show that chiropractic manipulation has benefits beyond short-term pain relief. In a randomized controlled trial comparing chiropractic and hospital outpatient treatment of low back pain, the authors concluded that chiropractic treatment was more effective than hospital outpatient management, especially for patients with chronic or severe pain. (5)
At the three-year follow-up, the chiropractic group had a 29 percent improvement in Oswestry (disability) scores in comparison to the hospital group. (6)

Another randomized controlled trial of 256 patients with non-specific back and neck complaints received spinal manipulation, physiotherapy (exercises, massage, heat, electrotherapy, ultrasound, shortwave diathermy), treatment by a general practitioner (analgesics, NSAIDs, advice about posture, home exercises, and bed rest), or placebo (detuned shortwave diathermy and ultrasound). The study found that manipulation and physiotherapy were superior to the general practitioner and placebo groups. However, at 12-month follow-up, the manipulation group demonstrated greater improvement in the main complaint and physical functioning compared to physiotherapy. (7)
A 1998 *New England Journal of Medicine* study compared chiropractic manipulation, physical therapy (PT) and an educational pamphlet for the treatment of low back pain. The authors concluded that chiropractic and physical therapy showed little benefit compared to the educational pamphlet. However, a closer inspection of the data found that the chiropractic group had subjects who were worse in terms of their history of back pain, pain levels, and risk factors for poor outcome. In spite of these unmatched baseline characteristics, the chiropractic group fared better in terms of pain and disability at both the four and 12 week follow-up. The chiropractic subjects also reported a significant decrease in the use of pain medication compared to the other groups (82 percent to 18 percent in the chiropractic group, 84 percent to 27 percent in the PT group, and 77 percent to 32 percent in the pamphlet group). At 11-month follow-up, the authors stated that a smaller percentage of the chiropractic subjects had lost time from work, the need for bed rest, and limited activity due to pain compared to the PT and pamphlet groups. (8)

Finally, a randomized controlled trial of one hundred fifteen patients with chronic spinal pain (greater than 13 weeks duration) were assigned to receive either NSAIDs (Celebrex, Vioxx, or paracetamol), acupuncture or chiropractic manipulation twice a week for nine weeks (9).

By the end of the study, chiropractic manipulation achieved the best overall results. The chiropractic group had the highest proportion of patients that received early complete relief (27.3 percent) compared to acupuncture (9.4 percent) and medication (5 percent). The chiropractic group had a 50 percent improvement in back pain intensity compared to acupuncture (15 percent) and medication (0 percent). In addition, neck and back ranges of motion were dramatically increased in the patients who received manipulation. One of the study’s most remarkable finding was the chiropractic group reported a 47 percent improvement in overall health compared to only 15 percent for the acupuncture group and 18 percent for the medication group. This is the third study to show chiropractic improves overall health as a result of improved spinal function and range of motion.

Another interesting finding was the fact that the chiropractic patients had the highest average duration of chronic pain (8.3 years) versus 6.4 years in the acupuncture group and 4.5 years in the medication group. This makes the effectiveness of chiropractic manipulation even more impressive compared to other treatments.

These studies clearly indicate that patients can receive long-term benefits from chiropractic manipulation.

There are a number of reasons why patients receiving chiropractic manipulation have excellent outcomes. One of the many positive effects of chiropractic manipulation is the modulation of pain. It has been shown that manipulation of zygapophyseal joints not only causes a decrease of paraspinal hyperalgesia in subjects with symptoms, but also an increase in paraspinal pain thresholds to noxious stimuli in subjects with no symptoms. (10-13) It is believed that the stimulation of joint mechanoreceptors (through
manipulation) has an inhibitory effect on second order neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. (14) These second order neurons are the same neurons that transmit nociception to higher brain centers.

**Research**

Recent research has also confirmed that chiropractic manipulation can stimulate muscle reflex responses. (15-18) Colloca et al.(17), found that the reflexes generated are consistent (in 95 percent of subjects) and the reflexes arise from the mechanical stimulation of discoligamentous and muscular afferent nerve fibers. Herzog et al.(16) states: “Because reflex pathways are evoked systematically during spinal manipulative treatment, there is a distinct possibility that these responses may cause some of the clinically observed beneficial effects, such as a reduction in pain and a decrease in hypertonicity of muscles.”

Another recent study investigated the effects of paraspinal muscle strength following spinal manipulative therapy (SMT). Forty subjects were assessed for trunk muscle strength by performing maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) isometric trunk extension while lying prone on a treatment table. The subjects were then divided and received instrument-assisted SMT, sham manipulation or neither (control). The study found that SMT results in a significant increase in sEMG erector spinae isometric MVC muscle output. The subjects’ trunk muscle strength increased 21 percent after receiving SMT. (19)

Improved spinal kinematics is another positive effect of chiropractic manipulation. Several studies have shown that manipulation can increase spinal ranges of motion. (20-24)

In the field of orthopedics, more attention has been paid to lumbar sagittal balance as it relates to low back pain and post-surgical outcomes. It has been shown that patients who have better lumbar sagittal balance following lumbar fusion have better post-surgical outcomes. (25)

In the chiropractic field, conservative methods have been developed to improve the lordosis and sagittal balance of low back pain patients. There is a new, non-surgical method of lumbar extension traction that has been shown to increase the lumbar lordosis in chronic low back pain patients with hypolordosis. (26) Forty-eight consecutive patients with chronic low back pain were matched for sex, age, height, weight, and pain scores with 30 control subjects. The treatment group received lumbar spinal manipulation in the first few weeks for short-term pain relief. They then received a session of 3-point bending lumbar extension traction three to four times per week for 12+/-4 weeks. Pain scores (VAS) and radiographic measurements did not change in the control group. In the traction group, VAS scores decreased from a mean +/-standard deviation of 4.4+/-1.9 to 0.6+/-0.9.

Radiographic measurements showed improvements that were statistically significant. Mean changes in lordotic angles were 5.7 degrees at L4-5, 11.3 degrees between
Discussion

Improving the structural alignment of the spinal column is the ultimate goal of chiropractic treatment. Improving structural alignment balances the loads, stresses and strains on spinal tissues. This, in turn, improves spinal biomechanics and results in the reduction or elimination of pain.

The research supporting chiropractic manipulation is mounting. Because chiropractic has been found to be an effective treatment for low back pain in numerous studies, it should be the treatment of choice for patients with both acute and chronic low back pain.
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